

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
APPLICATION NO.		09/29/2003	Shin Koike	243161US0	9971	
10/671,591				EXAMINER		
22850 C. IRVIN N	7590 MCCL	07/19/2006 ELLAND		METZMAIER, DANIEL S		
OBLON, SP	IVAK	, MCCLELLAND,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
1940 DUKE ALEXAND	STRE RIA. `	EET VA 22314		1712		
122121	,	,		DATE MAILED: 07/19/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/671,591	KOIKE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Daniel S. Metzmaier	1712	

Advisory Action	10/0/1/00	<del> </del>	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	1
	Daniel S. Metzmaier	1712	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	fress
	SHCATION IN CONDITION FOR A		
.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the followances the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	ffidavit, or other evide	CFR 41.31; or (3) l
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this and the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this and event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 5 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of eunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the late of the checked. Any reply received by the Office late	Advisory Action, or (2) the date section later than SIX MONTHS from the maili (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE TOO CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE MAILING OF THE MAILING OF THE	HE FIRST REPLY WAS  .136(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropriately set in the final O	FILED WITHIN iate extension fee briate extension fee ffice action: or (2) as
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Crit 1.7040	<i>5)</i> .		<b>.</b>
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extandard a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file	npliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), and within the time period set forth in	e filed within two mor to avoid dismissal of a 37 CFR 41.37(a).	ths of the date of the appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	but prior to the date of filing a bri	ef, will not be entered	because
<ul> <li>3.</li></ul>	January	,	
appeal; and/or	a corresponding number of finally		
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1 4.   The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1			nt (PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be	allowable it submitted in a separa	te, timely filed amend	ment canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is p The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	a) ⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ rovided below or appended.	will be entered and a	n explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 6-21</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	And Summont Found but prior to	the date of filing a br	ief, will not be
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of fil entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is neces 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	san, and was not earlier presented	. See 37 CFR 41.33	(d)(1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered.	d but does NOT place the applicati	on in condition for allo	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statements 13. Other:	(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pap	per No(s)	I Mo fruin
		Daniel S. Metz Primary Exami Art Unit: 1712	$_{ m maier}$ ${\cal O}$



**Application No. 10/671,591** 

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitations in the newly presented claims raises a new issue not herein before presented. Said limitation does not clearly place the claims in condition for allowance by the presentation of limitations not previously considered as now claimed. Furthermore, applicants have not provided a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 116(B)(3).